EXHIBIT 1

```
Page 1
1
2
     UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
     SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK
3
     11-CV-5454 (GBD)
4
     JOHN WILEY & SONS, INC.,
5
                            Plaintiff, :
6
                - against -
7
    DRK PHOTO,
8
                             Defendant. :
9
10
                                   321 W. 44th Street
11
12
                                   New York, New York
13
                                   June 6, 2013
14
                                   10:00 a.m.
15
16
17
18
19
20
                DEPOSITION OF JAMES H. PICKERELL, held
21
     at the above-mentioned time and place, before
22
     Randi Friedman, a Registered Professional
     Reporter and Notary Public within and for the
23
24
     State of New York.
25
```

	Page 15
1	J. Pickerell
2	Q. Do you know Dan Krasemann?
3	A. No. Know of him, but I've never met
4	him.
5	Q. What do you know of him?
6	A. I know he is stock agent. I don't
7	remember if he's still a member of PACA. He at
8	one point was. I've heard many people talk about
9	him over the years, but I've never met him.
10	Q. What do you know about him?
11	A. Not a whole lot, other than he seemed
12	to have a good reputation from all the
13	photographers that I've talked to who mentioned
14	his name.
15	Q. Have you spoken to him in the context
16	of doing your report in this case?
17	A. No.
18	Q. Have you ever spoken to him?
19	A. No.
20	Q. Have you ever corresponded with him?
21	A. Not that I can recall.
22	Q. Have you ever published anything about
23	him?
24	A. Not that I can recall, but I've
25	published a lot of articles over the past couple

J. Pickerell

are referring to?

- A. Well, the other aspects are if the license does not specifically say, allow electronic use, and they discover that there has been electronic use, that's another aspect. If the publisher -- if they -- if the license does not specifically allow print in another language, that's another aspect. If the license does not allow use outside of the United States, outside of North America, that's another aspect.
- Q. So sellers aren't forced to rely on the publishers to find out about that because they can find out if it's been offered in electronic form or offered in another edition or offered outside the United States by checking Amazon; correct?

MR. HARMON: Objection to form.

THE WITNESS: They could

constantly check Amazon. It would be very difficult for them to check and determine whether it's been used outside the United States. It would be difficult for them to check -- to determine whether it's been in

another language. They might be able to

Page 70 1 J. Pickerell 2 determine that in Amazon. 3 Since most of the electronic uses are on password-protected sites, it would 4 5 be, I would think, almost impossible for them to determine whether it has been used 6 7 on an electronic site, because they wouldn't have -- they couldn't search for that and 8 9 find it and have that aspect. 10 So there are some ways that they 11 can determine whether there have been other 12 uses, but certainly not all of the other 13 uses. 14 (Exhibit Pickerell-9 was marked.) 15 Q. Would you please take a look at the 16 document that's been marked Exhibit 9 and tell me 17 if Exhibit 9 is familiar to you. 18 Α. It is certainly from my newsletter, 19 and it was published in 1999. 20 And is the document or the article 0. 21 that is Exhibit 9 something that was written by 22 you? 23 Α. Yes. 24 If you turn to the last page of Q. 25 Exhibit 9, you see the heading, What To Do?

J. Pickerell

still be a fair term in that area. I can't be more specific than that as to what would be fair.

- Q. Using the method of determining your view of fees that were reported in your submissions and the other cases we've discussed, what would be the appropriate fee in a circumstance where the pricing agreement gives a price for a press run of up to 40,000; the permission, notwithstanding the 40,000 in the agreement says you have the rights to print up to 20,000 copies; and instead 30,000 copies are printed? How do you apply your pricing methodology to that?
- A. Well, in my book, I believe I -- certainly by 2001, I had a price for a print run of 20,000.
- Q. But just to be clear, in this circumstance if we're dealing with let's say Wiley's price agreement that doesn't have a price below 40. It's up to 40. They have an authorization to print 20, and they print 30.

So what -- how do you determine the price in that circumstance?

MR. HARMON: I object to the

J. Pickerell

interruption. I just want Mr. Pickerell to be able to finish the response he was making before you interrupted him. Then if you want to follow up with that question. Can you kindly -- my objection is you should be able -- you should be permitted to finish your answer before another question is posed.

THE WITNESS: I need to figure out where I was.

(Whereupon the reporter read back the requested portion of the record.)

THE WITNESS: What I was trying to say is that the price for 20,000 wasn't half of the 40,000 price. It was -- and I don't remember exactly, but let's say the price for 40,000 was \$200.00; and the price for 20,000 was 170 or something like that.

And so then if they had licensed -- if they had licensed for 40,000, printed 20, and then printed another 20, they're still within the 40,000. If they licensed for 20 and then printed 40, then we've got -- we've gone to the two to three

Page 131 1 J. Pickerell 2 times, because this is an overrun of what 3 they licensed. BY MR. PENCHINA: 4 5 That was under your book or under the 6 pricing agreement? 7 In that case, the pricing agreement -the fact that if they had a 20,000 fee in the 8 9 pricing agreement, and that's the thing that they 10 licensed, then that's the usage that is allowed. 11 The fact that they have 40,000 also in the 12 pricing agreement is irrelevant because it's what 13 they licensed for. It's not the other terms that 14 are in the pricing agreement that weren't part of 15 the license. 16 But if there's no price for 20,000, so Ο. 17 that the pricing agreement says \$170.00 for up to 40,000 --18 19 Α. Right. 20 -- and the invoice says you're limited Q. to 20,000 copies, and instead the publisher 21 22 prints 30,000 copies, they're still under what 23 the initial price for up to 40 was. How would 24 you price it? 25 Α. I would still say that that's an

J. Pickerell

overrun, because basically even though the pricing agreement is set up as this is what we would charge for X amount of uses, the publisher comes to him and says, okay, in this case, we only want to print 20,000. So he gives the license just for 20,000. And that's what the license says. It's not some mysterious thing that's related to the pricing agreement. That's what the license is.

and then if they overrun the license, even though the price was -- he charged the same for 20,000 as he would have charged for 40,000, even though that's in the license. Now they could have asked for 40,000 in the first place and probably should have. That's their mistake. Not the seller's mistake. But he should not -- when they overrun the license, that is the critical issue. And that's where we go into the charging additional fees.

- Q. So what would the additional fee be?
- A. Two to three times.
- Q. Two to three times?
- A. Two to three times that price for the first thing. Then we get back a little bit to

	Page 161
1	ERRATA SHEET
	VERITEXT REPORTING COMPANY
2	1250 BROADWAY
	NEW YORK, NEW YORK 10001
3	800-362-2520
4	CASE: JOHN WILEY & SONS VS. DRK PHOTO
	DEPOSITION DATE: JUNE 6, 2013
5	DEPONENT: JAMES H. PICKERELL
6	PAGE LINE(S) CHANGE REASON
7	54 11 REMOVE "THEY WERE REST OF SENTENCE
8	Explains my thought.
9	54 16 1 "through" to "to" I EM SUPREME COURT
10	RETUSED TO REVIEW
11	
12	55 19 REMOVE SUPPLY THE REST OF SENTENCE
13	
14	133 1 16 1 CHANGE MONEY" I TALKING About IMAGE
15	- 1 TO USE USE, NOT MOWEY
16	137 123 REPLACE ARE WITH I WRONG TENSE
17	<i>WERE"</i>
18	!!
19	
20	
21	- Jours of Marie
0.0	JAMES H. PICKERELL
22	<i>(</i>
	SUBSCRIBED AND SWORN TO BEFORE ME
23	THIS 01 DAY OF J_{aly} , 2013 .
24	ANDEW DECNICA
2 =	ANDREW RESNICK 3/22/2017 NOTARY PUBLIC
25	(NOT AND MERY COUNTY MY COMMISSION EXPIRES: MARYLAND
L	MY COMMISSION EXPIRES 3/22/2017 VERITEXT REPORTING COMPANY
4	212-279-9424 www.veritext.com 212-490-3430

212-279-9424